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- *open bandit project* (on-going)
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Machine Learning for Decision Making (Bandit / RL)

We often use machine learning to make **decisions, not predictions**

decide which items to show

a coming user

observe reward (e.g., click)

often multiple items are recommended at the same time
Many Applications of “Machine Decision Making”

- news recommendation (by Yahoo)
- music/playlist recommendation (by Spotify)
- artwork personalization (by Netflix)
- ad allocation optimization (by Criteo)
- medicine
- education

We want to evaluate the performance of a new decision making policy using data generated by a behavior, past policy
Data Generating Process (contextual bandit setting)

Observes $X$ (context vector, e.g., a user visit)

A policy $\pi$ selects an action $A$ (e.g., a fashion item)

Observes $Y$ (e.g., a click indicator)

A policy interacts with the environment and produces the log data
Logged Bandit Feedback

We can use the logged bandit feedback collected by a behavior (or past) policy to estimate the policy value of a new policy

\[ D = \{(X_i, A_i, Y_i)\}_{i=1}^n \]

\[ A_i \sim \pi_b (a \mid X_i) \]

action choice by behavior policy

\[ Y_i = Y_i (A_i) \]

observed reward
Estimation Target in Off-Policy Evaluation

In OPE, we aim to estimate the following \textit{policy value} of an \textit{evaluation (or new) policy}

\[
V(\pi_e) := \mathbb{E}_{(Y(\cdot), X)} \left[ \sum_{a=0}^{m} Y(a) \pi_e(a \mid X) \right]
\]

expected reward obtained by running $\pi_e$ on a real system
Benefits of Off-Policy Evaluation

Accurately estimating the policy value of an evaluation policy

\[ V(\pi_e) \approx \hat{V}(\pi_e; D) \]

an estimated policy value of \( \pi_e \) using historical data \( D \)

- avoid deploying poor performing policies
- identify promising new policies among many candidates
**Direct Method (DM)**

DM first estimates the expected reward and uses it to estimate the policy value

\[
\hat{V}_{DM}(\pi_e; \mathcal{D}) = \mathbb{E}_n \left[ \sum_{a=0}^{m} \pi(a \mid X_i) \hat{\mu}(X_i, a) \right]
\]

- **High bias** when the model is mis-specified
- **Low variance**

\[
\mathbb{E}[Y(a) \mid X = x] \approx \hat{\mu}(x, a)
\]
Inverse Probability Weighting (IPW)

IPW re-weighs observed rewards by importance weights

\[ \hat{V}_{IPW}(\pi_e; \mathcal{D}) = \mathbb{E}_n \left[ Y_i \frac{\pi_e (A_i \mid X_i)}{\pi_b (A_i \mid X_i)} \right] \]

- **Consistent**
- **High variance** when old and new policies are largely different
Doubly Robust (DR)

DR uses DM as a baseline and applies IPW to shifted rewards

\[ \hat{V}_{DR}(\pi_e; \mathcal{D}) = \hat{V}_{DM}(\pi_e; \mathcal{D}) + \mathbb{E}_n \left[ (Y_i - \hat{\mu}(X_i, A_i)) \frac{\pi_e(A_i|X_i)}{\pi_b(A_i|X_i)} \right] \]

- Consistent
- Locally Efficient
Theoretical/Methodological Advances in OPE

- Self-Normalized IPW [Swaminathan and Joachims 2015]
- Switch Doubly Robust Estimator [Wang+ 2017]
- More Robust Doubly Robust Estimator [Farajtabar+ 2018]
- Hirano-Imbence-Ridder Estimator [Narita+ 2019]
- REG and EMP [Kallus & Uehara 2019]
- Doubly Robust with Shrinkage [Su+ 2020]

It seems the OPE community have made great progress over the years!

There are many other estimators in the reinforcement learning setting.
Issues with the current experimental procedures

Experiments in every OPE paper rely on

- Synthetic or classification data (unrealistic)

or

- (Real, but) Unpublished data (irreproducible)

We need real-world data enabling the “evaluation of OPE”
Project’s Goal and Components

We enable *realistic and reproducible* experiments on

- Bandit Algorithms
- Off-Policy Evaluation (OPE)

“Open Bandit Dataset”
and “Open Bandit Pipeline”
Overview of Open Bandit Dataset

\[ D = \{ (X_i, A_i, Y_i) \}_{i=1}^{n} \]
## Schema of Open Bandit Dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>timestamp</th>
<th>item_id</th>
<th>position</th>
<th>propensity_score</th>
<th>click_indicator</th>
<th>features</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019-11-xx</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>e2500f3f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019-11-xx</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7c414ef7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019-11-xx</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60bd4df9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019-11-xx</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7c20d9b5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Essential Features of Open Bandit Dataset

- **over 25M records** collected by online experiments of bandit algorithms on a large-scale fashion e-commerce (ZOZOTOWN)

- **logged bandit feedback collected by multiple bandit policies**
  - *Uniform Random* (fixed)
  - *Bernoulli Thompson Sampling* (pre-trained before collection)

- enabling realistic experiments on OPE for the first time
Protocol for the Evaluation of OPE with Open Bandit Dataset

1. Prepare two logged bandit feedback data collected by different policies

\[ \mathcal{D}^{(1)} = \left\{ \left( X_i^{(1)}, A_i^{(1)}, Y_i^{(1)} \right) \right\}_{i=1}^{n} \]

collected by \( \pi^{(1)} \)

\[ \mathcal{D}^{(2)} = \left\{ \left( X_i^{(2)}, A_i^{(2)}, Y_i^{(2)} \right) \right\}_{i=1}^{n} \]

collected by \( \pi^{(2)} \)
Protocol for the Evaluation of OPE with Open Bandit Dataset

2. Regard one policy as an evaluation policy and the other as a behavior policy. Then, estimate the performance of the evaluation policy by OPE

\[ \hat{V}(\pi^{(1)}) \approx \hat{V}(\pi^{(1)}; D^{(2)}) \]

\(\pi^{(1)}\) : evaluation policy \hspace{1cm} \(\pi^{(2)}\) : behavior policy

- The task here is to evaluate the accuracy of \(\hat{V}\)
Protocol for the Evaluation of OPE with Open Bandit Dataset

3. Regard the on-policy estimation of the policy value of the evaluation policy as the ground-truth policy value

\[ V(\pi^{(1)}) = \mathbb{E}_{n^{(1)}}[Y^{(1)}] \]

we can do this on-policy estimation because we have \( D^{(1)} \) in our data
Protocol for the Evaluation of OPE with Open Bandit Dataset

4. Compare the estimated policy value with the ground-truth to evaluate the OPE estimator, for example, using the **relative estimation error**

\[
\text{relative estimation error of } \hat{V} = \left| \frac{\hat{V}(\pi^{(1)}; \mathcal{D}^{(2)}) - V(\pi^{(1)})}{V(\pi^{(1)})} \right|
\]

By applying this procedure to several estimators, we can compare them...
Comparison with Existing Real-World Bandit Datasets

Table 2: Comparison of Currently Available Large-scale Bandit Datasets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Criteo Data (Lefortier et al. 2016)</th>
<th>Yahoo! Data (Li et al. 2010)</th>
<th>Open Bandit Dataset (ours)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Domain</td>
<td>Display Advertising</td>
<td>News Recommendation</td>
<td>Fashion E-Commerce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#Data</td>
<td>&gt;= 103M</td>
<td>&gt;= 40M</td>
<td>&gt;= 26M (will increase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#Behavior Policies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 (will increase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random A/B Test Data</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavior Policy Code</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation of Bandit Algorithms</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation of OPE</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipeline Implementation</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our Open Bandit Dataset

- contains *multiple behavior policies*
- enables *the evaluation of OPE for the first time*
- *comes with the pipeline implementations* (Open Bandit Pipeline)
Open Bandit Pipeline (OBP)

We have implemented **Open Bandit Pipeline (OBP)** to streamline and standardize experiments on OPE

**find out zr-obp!**
Structure of Open Bandit Pipeline

OBP consists of **four main modules** (dataset, policy, simulator, and ope)
Proof of Concept Demo with Our Data and Pipeline

Let me now run a quickstart example of OBP
Other Nice Features

We can easily implement experiments on OPE or OPE itself with our OBP

```python
# a case for implementing OPE of the BernoulliTS policy using log data generated by the Random policy
from ope.dataset import OpenBanditDataset
from ope.policy import BernoulliTS
from ope.simulator import run_bandit_simulation
from ope.ope import OfflinePolicyEvaluation, ReplayMethod

# (1) Data loading and preprocessing
dataset = OpenBanditDataset(behavior_policy='random', campaign='women')
bandit_feedback = dataset.obtain_bandit_feedback()

counterfactual_policy = BernoulliTS(n_actions=dataset.n_actions, len_list=dataset.len_list)
selected_actions = run_bandit_simulation(bandit_feedback=bandit_feedback, policy=counterfactual_policy)

# (2) Offline Bandit Simulation

counterfactual_policy = BernoulliTS(n_actions=dataset.n_actions, len_list=dataset.len_list)
selected_actions = run_bandit_simulation(bandit_feedback=bandit_feedback, policy=counterfactual_policy)

# (3) Off-Policy Evaluation

ope = OfflinePolicyEvaluation(bandit_feedback=bandit_feedback, ope_estimators=[ReplayMethod()])
estimated_policy_value = ope.estimate_policy_values(selected_actions=selected_actions)

# estimated performance of BernoulliTS relative to the ground-truth performance of Random
relative_policy_value_of_beroulli_ts = estimated_policy_value['rm'] / bandit_feedback['reward'].mean()
print(relative_policy_value_of_beroulli_ts) # 1.120574...
```
Potential Users

- **Researchers** can compare their own method with others in an easy, realistic, and reproducible manner.

- **Practitioners (engineers, data scientists)** can evaluate candidate policies and identify the best one immediately using our pipeline and their own data.
Other Nice Features

Welcome to obp's documentation!

Open Bandit Dataset and Pipeline

Overview

Open Bandit Pipeline (OBP) is a Python 3.7+ offline bandit simulation toolkit. The toolkit comes with the Open Bandit Dataset, a logged bandit feedback collected on a large-scale fashion e-commerce platform, ZOZOTOWN. The purpose of the open data and library is to enable easy, realistic, and reproducible evaluation of bandit algorithms and off-policy evaluation (OPE). OBP has a series of implementations of dataset preprocessing, bandit policy interfaces, offline bandit simulator, and standard OPE estimators.

We built a detailed documentation of Open Bandit Pipeline
# Comparison with Existing Bandit Packages

Table 3: Comparison of Currently Available Packages of Bandit Algorithms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>contextualbandits</th>
<th>RecoGym (Rohde et al. 2018)</th>
<th>Open Bandit Pipeline (ours)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Synthetic Data Generator</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support for Real-World Data</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation of Bandit Algorithms</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation of Basic Off-Policy Estimators</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation of Advanced Off-Policy Estimators</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation of OPE</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Our Open Bandit Pipeline

- can *handle real-world bandit data* (including ours)
- implements *advanced OPE estimators* (SNIPW, Switch, MRDR, and DML)
- streamline *the evaluation of OPE*
Benchmark Results of Some OPE Methods

We performed benchmark comparison on basic OPE estimators

Table 4: Comparing Relative-Estimation Errors of OPE Estimators (Random $\rightarrow$ Bernoulli TS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimators</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Men’s</th>
<th>Women’s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>0.23879 [0.22998, 0.24988]</td>
<td>0.24155 [0.22656, 0.25592]</td>
<td>0.22884 [0.22224, 0.23423]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPW</td>
<td>0.03477 [0.01147, 0.06592]</td>
<td>0.09806 [0.07485, 0.12151]</td>
<td>0.03252 [0.01708, 0.04912]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNIPW</td>
<td>0.03381 [0.01005, 0.06662]</td>
<td>0.08153 [0.05677, 0.10592]</td>
<td>0.03179 [0.01562, 0.04825]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR</td>
<td>0.03487 [0.01094, 0.06784]</td>
<td>0.08528 [0.06186, 0.10876]</td>
<td>0.03224 [0.01605, 0.04843]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IPW, SNIPW, and DR seem accurate, but this is a very simple task
Some Limitations...

We now assume that the **click of an item (reward)** depends only on that item and position.

\[ A = \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{K} \]

- action set
- item set (total of 80 items)
- position set (total of 3 positions)
Some Limitations...

- assumption on the action decomposition might be too strict

\[ A = \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{K} \]

because it ignores the interactions among items in the same list

→ We will compare other estimators relying on other reasonable assumptions (e.g., estimators for the slate setting)
Some Limitations...

- **the current data contains only context-free policies**
  → we will run another experiment to collect more useful bandit datasets (open bandit dataset v2)

- **current benchmark analysis is simple, primitive**
  → we are now conducting extensive experiments to answer
    ○ Can OPE estimates the performance of a new policy in the future environment (out-sample policy value)?
    ○ How does the performance of OPE change with different settings?
Thank you!

Please come to our poster for further discussions!

---

github: https://github.com/st-tech/zr-obp

docs: https://zr-obp.readthedocs.io/en/latest/

dataset: https://research.zozo.com/data.html